

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03436 252032Z

64

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

EB-07 COME-00 OMB-01 SS-15 NSC-05 /070 W

----- 049096

R 251900Z JUN 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2444

INFO USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 3436

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MPOL, PFOR, ECON, NATO

SUBJ: NATO STUDY OF SECURITY IMPLICATIONS OF THE ECONOMIC
ISSUES

REFS: A. STATE 147621; B. USNATO 3290

SUMMARY: AT JUNE 25 MEETING NAC TOOK NOTE OF ECONOMIC
COMMITTEE REPORT FOLLOWING HIGHLY FAVORABLE COMMENTS FROM
MANY REPS. DE STAERCKE (BELGIUM) POINTED OUT IT ANSWERED
QUESTIONS RAISED AGAIN AT THE SUMMIT BY NATIONAL LEADERS
ON THE ECONOMIC ISSUES OF CONCERN TO THE ALLIANCE. HE
URGED THE PERMREPS TO BRING THE REPORT TO THE ATTENTION
OF THEIR GOVERNMENT. EVEN IF THE ANSWERS MIGHT SEEM
UNPALATABLE FROM TIME TO TIME, AND EVEN IF THEY WERE
HONORED MORE IN THE BREACH, THE WARNING WAS CLEAR THAT
THE ECONOMIC MEASURES OF INDIVIDUAL COUNTRIES MUST NOT
UNDERMINE THE ECONOMIES OF THE REST OF THE ALLIANCE.
ITALIAN, GERMAN, UK, FRENCH, AND DUTCH ASSOCIATED
THEMSELVES WITH BELGIAN COMMENTS, WHILE CANADIAN REP
CONTINUED POSITION NOTED EARLIER IN SPC THAT REPORT
IN SOME RESPECTS WAS TOO PEESSIMISTIC. AMBASSADOR BRUCE
COMMENTED PER REF A. END SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03436 252032Z

1. IN INTRODUCING DISCUSSION OF ECONOMIC COMMITTEE
REPORT ON SECURITY AND POLITICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE
ECONOMIC SITUATION (NOW DOC C-M(75)40), SYG LUNS RECALLED
THAT IT RESPONDED TO A COUNCIL REQUEST LAST JANUARY AND

WAS ESPECIALLY TIMELY IN VIEW OF NAC SUMMIT DISCUSSION OF ECONOMIC PROBLEMS IN THE ALLIANCE. HE CITED THE SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE ON THIS POINT. HE ALSO MENTIONED VIEWS EXPRESSED IN SPC DISCUSSION THAT CERTAIN PARAGRAPHS SEEMED TOO PESSIMISTIC BUT AGREED WITH SPC CHAIRMAN'S COVER NOTE WHICH POINTED OUT THE CONDITIONAL NATURE OF THESE PARAS. LUNS ALSO REMINDED NAC THAT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE WILL BE PREPARING A FURTHER DETAILED REPORT IN THE FALL ON ECONOMIC BALANCE BETWEEN THE WARSAW PACT COUNTRIES AND THE ALLIANCE.

2. DE STAERCKE DESCRIBED THE REPORT AS AN EXTRAORDINARILY IMPORTANT AND REMARKABLE ONE. IT ANSWERED THE QUESTIONS NATIONAL LEADERS HAD RAISED DURING THE SUMMIT DISCUSSIONS ON THE ECONOMIC PROBLEMS OF THE ALLIANCE. HE DID NOT AGREE THAT IT WAS TOO PESSIMISTIC AND PRAISED PARTICULARLY PARAS 5 (WHICH RAISES THE DANGER FOR ALLIANCE COHESION OF BEGGAR-MY-NEIGHBOR POLICIES, INCLUDING PROTECTIONISM) AND 7 (WHICH POINTS UP THE ADVERSE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC PROBLEMS ON ADEQUATE DEFENSE EXPENDITURES BY MEMBERS). IN SHORT, HE DESCRIBED IT AS A "BRAVE ANSWER" WHICH GAVE THE NECESSARY WARNING AND FOR THAT REASON URGED COUNCIL MEMBERS TO BRING THE REPORT TO THE ATTENTION OF THEIR GOVERNMENTS.

3. CATALANO (ITALY) SAID THE REPORT WAS OF EXCELLENT QUALITY. CHARGE' ROY (CANADA) WHO HAD ORIGINALLY CRITICISED PARAS 5, 6 AND 7 IN THE SPC, "TOOK NOTE" OF DE STAERCKE'S COMMENTS AND SAID HE WOULD PASS THEM TO OTTAWA. HE DREW ATTENTION TO THE ANOMALY OF EARLIER FORECASTS THAT THE NORTH AMERICAN COUNTRIES, WHO HAD THEIR OWN OIL RESOURCES, WOULD BE LESS AFFECTED THAN THE EUROPEAN MEMBERS BY THE OPEC PRICE INCREASES. CITING TABLE 3 OF THE REPORT'S ANNEX, HE POINTED OUT THAT THE US AND CANADA ARE IN A LESS FAVORABLE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS SITUATION THAN THE EUROPEAN ALLIES. FOLLOWING ON HIS COMMENTS ON THE APAG REPORT ON EAST WEST TRADE AND CONTACTS

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 03436 252032Z

IN THE PRECEDING AGENDA ITEM (SEPTTEL), ROY SUGGESTED THAT REPORT BE CIRCULATED TO THE ECONOMIC COMMITTEE FOR "REFERENCE".

4. CITRON (FRG) AGREED FULLY WITH DE STAERCKE, AND SAID FRG WELCOMES CONTINUATION OF ECONAD STUDY.

5. CHARGE' DE LA FERRIERE (FRANCE) SAID HE AGREED WITH THOSE WHO SAID THE REPORT WAS NOT TOO PESSIMISTIC; AND FULLY SUPPORTED DE STAERCKE'S REMARKS. HE NOTED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE OECD AGREEMENT AGAINST IMPOSING TRADE RESTRICTIONS AS REMEDY FOR CURRENT ECONOMIC SITUATION.

6. HARTOGH (NETHERLANDS) ALSO AGREED WITH THE REPORT, BUT SAID THAT PART B, IN SPITE OF ITS CAPTION, IS NOT, AND COULD NOT BE, AN "ECONOMIC OUTLOOK ANALYSIS" BECAUSE OF THE INABILITY OF EXPERTS CONCERNED TO AGREE ON THE FUTURE. IT WAS, HOWEVER, VALUABLE AS A HISTORICAL SUMMARY. ECONOMIC COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN BILLY ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THE REPORT DID NOT GIVE A LONG TERM PROJECTION. EVEN THE SHORT TERM PROJECTIONS WERE CAUTIOUS, BUT THE COMMITTEE HAD SOUGHT TO USE THE MOST RECENT FORECASTS AVAILABLE WHEN THE REPORT WAS DRAFTED. HE SAID THE COMMITTEE WOULD GO INTO MORE DETAIL IN THIS AREA IN THE FALL STUDY.

7. AT THE REQUEST OF THE MILITARY COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVE, THE REFERENCE TO NATO HAVING "RELATIVELY MORE MEN UNDER ARMS WORLDWIDE" THAN THE WARSAW PCT WOULD BE DELETED SINCE THE LATTER HAD SOME 500,000 MORE MEN THAN NATO.

8. AMBASSADOR BRUCE COMMENTED PER REF A AND SAID HE WAS PARTICULARLY STRUCK BY THE ADVANTAGES RECOUNTED IN PARA 4 OF THE REPORT WHICH WARSAW PACT COUNTRIES HAVE, COMPARED WITH THE ALLIES, IN AVOIDING THE NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THEIR DEFENSE POSTURE WHICH THE WORLD ECONOMIC SITUATION IMPOSES ON THE NATO COUNTRIES. THIS WAS SECONDED BY LUNS, WHO ENDED THE DISCUSSION WITH A REMINDER THAT THE WARSAW PACT COUNTRIES WERE CONTINUING TO INCREASE THEIR MILITARY EXPENDITURES.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 03436 252032Z

9. PER PARA 5, REF A, DCM RAISED WITH FRG CHARGE BOSS POSSIBILITY OF FRG'S PREPARING INITIAL DRAFT OF NEW PAPER ON OTHER ASPECTS OF EAST-WEST ECONOMIC BALANCE, FOR DISCUSSION IN ECONADS AND SUBSEQUENT CONSIDERATION IN NAC SOMETIME IN FALL, ALONG WITH FURTHER NAC REVIEW OF ISSUES RAISED IN PRESENT REPORT. BOSS SAID HE WOULD CHECK WITH HIS AUTHORITIES AND LET US KNOW. BRUCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 25 JUN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO003436
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750698/abbrzksi.tel
Line Count: 150
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. STATE 147621: B. USNATO 3290
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <25 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NATO STUDY OF SECURITY IMPLICATIONS OF THE ECONOMIC ISSUES
TAGS: MPOL, PFOR, ECON, NATO
To: STATE INFO EC BRUSSELS
OECD PARIS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006